

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/068,215	Applicant(s) WOOD ET AL.	
	Examiner ILIA OUSPENSKI	Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI.

(3) _____.

(2) CHARLENE STERN-DOMBAL, on behalf of DEANN SMITH.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ilia Ouspenski
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)